

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>		
	09/606,839		KARDACH, JAMES P.		
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>		
	Thomas Lee		2115		

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas Lee.

(2) Mark Watson.

**Date of Interview:** 23 August 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

1 - 20

Prior art documents discussed:

*Shen et al, US 6,490,611*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The differences between Shen et al and the claimed invention were discussed. Applicant agreed to the changes as set forth in the examiner's amendment to place the application in condition of allowance*


  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 THOMAS LEE  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)